

ANGLOPHONE WEST SCHOOL DISTRICT

District Improvement Plan 2017-2020

The District Improvement Plan is our roadmap

Message from the

Interim Superintendent

that guides us over the next three years as we set targets, determine actions and monitor change. As learners in this system, we have an important role to play. It is our responsibility to implement actions that positively impact the learning of our students and colleagues.

By concentrating our efforts in strengthening instructional practices, shared decision making and leadership opportunities, engagement of students and employees will increase.

Use this document as well as the Ten Year Education Plan as a guide to your School Improvement Plans. Revisit your goals and actions often to monitor growth.

Together, we can achieve results that will guide our students in becoming the resilient, confident and contributing members of our communities, fulfilling our ASD-W vision.

Catherine Blaney

Anglophone West School District District Improvement Plan

ANGLOPHONE WEST SCHOOL DISTRICT

The District Improvement Plan is a commitment to improve the academic and social growth of our youth. The priorities of the plan span a three year period and align with the provincial priorities. The plan is developed based on the results of student success and needs in our system and identifies the goals of focus for the year. The goals are established to guide the efforts of educators in achieving district priorities, set strategies and actions, and outline processes for monitoring, making adjustments and evaluation of the goals.

Mission

Excited. Involved. Prepared.

Vision Statement

All individuals engaged within our system are empowered to assist in building resilient, confident and contributing members of our communities.

Core Values

 1. Pursuit of Excellence
 2. Trust, Openness and

 4. Support and Recognition
 5. Shared Leadership

Trust, Openness and Transparency
 Shared Leadership
 Collaborative Relationships
 Engagement

Priorities for 2017-2020 in the Service of Learning "Learner" refers to both adults and students

Priority 1: Enhance Engagement of All Learners

Priority 2: Focus on Formative Assessment Practices to Support Pedagogy and Learning

Priority 3: Build Collaborative Leadership Capacity



Department of Education and Early Childhood Development

Ten Year Education Plan: Everyone At Their Best

Objectives

- 1. Ensure all learners value diversity and have a strong sense of belonging
- 2. *Ensure all pre-school children develop the competencies they need to be successful in school and in life
- 3. *Improve literacy skills for all learners
- 4. *Improve numeracy skills for all learners
- 5. Improve learning in, and application of, the arts, science, trades and technology for all learners
- 6. Meet the needs of First Nation learners and ensure that provincial curriculum is reflective of First Nation history and culture
- Ensure all learners develop the knowledge, skills and behaviours needed to continually adapt to, and thrive in, their environment
- 8. Improve levels of French language literacy to help all learners access a variety of bilingual opportunities and life experiences
- 9. Foster leadership, active citizenship and an entrepreneurial mindset

*Priority Delivery Unit Focus 2016-2018

"Student engage	nool District-West will strengthen teaching practices and studen ment is the extent to which students identify with and value s trivities, strive to meet the formal requirements of schooling, a Engagement is a multi-dir Behavioural – Students' direct actions and partie Emotional – Students' affective r	n <mark>t voice.</mark> Achooling outcomes, have a sense of belonging to sch	ool, participate in academic and non-academic (2009, Willms, Friesen & Milton) assroom learning. tt.
Indicators of Success	Focus and Research-Based Strategies / Actions (*Connection to EECD 10-Year Education Plan Objectives)	District Monitoring and Accountability (Plan / Do / Check / Act)	District Responsibility / Timeline
	Focus: Increasir	ng Student Voice	
Professional learning opportunities will enhance a shared understanding of student voice and ways to increase within the learning environment. (80% Student Talk / 20% Teacher Talk)	Develop a mutual understanding of ways to increase student voice as an instructional practice. *Objectives 1,3,4,5,	District Coordinators and Directors' work plans will identify specific actions and ways to increase student voice. Intentional conversations will be embedded within Subject Coordinator meetings and District Administrator learning opportunities.	Directors and Subject Coordinators / Core Leadership Team - TBA
Evidence based engagement practices are embedded within our schools K-12.	Promote the engagement of all students and reflect a culture of meaningful learning. *Objective 1,7,8,9	A review of learning opportunities will demonstrate an emphasis on practices and initiatives to increase student voice.	Directors and Subject Coordinators – Monthly Meetings
Evidence of First Nation student voice in all schools and in all subject content areas (within all learning environments).	Create connections and identify better practices within teaching and learning that are culturally relevant and inclusive of First Nation student voice. *Objectives 1,6	A review of learning opportunities and partnerships will assist in understanding our progress toward embracing a sense of belonging while promoting and fostering an understanding of First Nation history and culture.	Senior Administration Team and Subject Coordinator Team – Monthly Meetings
Benchmark Data (TTFM / Wellness Survey) will demonstrate an increase in engagement resulting from a focus on student voice.	Create opportunities for all students to contribute to the teaching and learning environment. *Objective 1	 District DIP/PLWEP Monitoring Committee will review results / progress on a defined schedule. Our School / TTFM Teacher Surveys Learning experiences Conversations / observations with school-based staff 	District DIP/PLWEP Monitoring Committee - TBA

Professional Learning / Resources			
EECD Portal Site (i.e. Universal Design for Learning, Positive Learning Environment)	EECD Perception Surveys	~	Mental Health Association
Provincial Exit Survey Data	ASD-W Positive Learning Environment Framework	\succ	Comprehensive School Safety resources
Cross-Curricular Look Fors – Portal Site	NBTA School Wellness Facilitators	\succ	PrevNet (Promoting Relationships & Eliminating Violence Network)
Healthy School Planner – Youth Engagement Section	New Brunswick Student Wellness Surveys	\succ	PBIS (Positive Behavioral Interventions & Supports)
Recommendations for Ten Year Education Plan – Page 49	New Brunswick Student Drug Use Surveys	\succ	CASEL (Collaborative for Academic, Social & Emotional Learning)
Policy 703 – Positive Learning and Working Environment – Section 6	School Review Indicators EECD	\succ	NASPONLINE (National Association of School Psychologists)
	Marzano and Hattie	\succ	OurSchool / Tell Them From Me (TTFM)
	Social/Emotional Supports for English Language Learners	\succ	Student Voice – by Peter Johnston

ASD-W PRIORITY 1

2017-2018 Goal: Anglophone School District-West will strengthen teaching practices and learning environments to foster the engagement of all learners with a focus on increasing student voice.

Engagement is a multi-dimensional construct including three components: Behavioural, Emotional, and Cognitive.

Outcome Measures						
OurSchool Data ASD-W	School Wellness D		Wellness Survey Data	Exit Survey – ASD-W		
(Formerly TTFM)	School Wellness Data supports a f		High levels of mental fitness are linked to the following: more pro-social	Student Sample Rate: 2012-40%; 2013-45%; 2014-45%of which22% responded.	2012 2013 2014 2015 2016	
Student	improvement in the following area	as as it relates to School	behaviors, fewer oppositional behaviours, lower susceptibility to smoking, engagement in competitive physical activities and higher level	T 1 101 1 1 100 W	620 747 314 560 641	
Participation Rate Elementary Secondary	Connectedness in the mental fitne		of school connectedness. Mental fitness is fostered in environments	My school is a place where I never ASD-W	52.3% 49.0% 55.7% 58.6% N/A	
2012-13 90.0% 74.0%	a at	eds my	and relationships that address three interrelated psychological needs; relatedness, autonomy and competency. (Morrison & Peterson, 2010)	felt like an outsider. Prov	56.5% 54.0% 58.4% 57.0% N/A	
2013-14 93.0% 76.0%		e at le at l	Mental Fitness	My school experience was ASD-W academically challenging. Prov	58.2% 58.9% 61.5% 61.2% 68.5% 62.5% 63.9% 64.3% 64.4% 67.4%	
2014-15 91.3% 75.0% 2015-16 89.6% 79.9%	to be	to be to be tracher tr	Low Medium High	academically challenging. Prov My learning is interrupted by the be- ASD-W	62.5% 63.9% 64.3% 64.4% 67.4% 29.5% 42.0% 42.7% 42.1% 35.9%	
	n pset		2010-11 ASD-W 17% 63% 17%	haviour problems of other students. Prov	34.1% 40.8% 40.9% 41.5% 39.7%	
OurSchool Data ASD-W Results	i a polo	napp. ol. l the te ol tres l safe l my le met at	K-5 Prov 19% 61% 19%	The variety of courses available met ASD-W	73.1% 71.1% 71.0% 76.1% 75.2%	
Interested and Motivated - Students are	feel ny sc	teel teel am	2012-13 ASD-W 25% 52% 23%	my need. Prov	78.0% 76.2% 75.0% 76.9% 74.9%	
interested and motivated in their learning		<u> </u>	6-12 Prov 22% 53% 25% 2013-14 ASD-W 17% 65% 18%	My school experience encouraged ASD-W	63.4% 64.5% 72.9% 67.9% 64.6%	
Cdn Cdn Elem Norm Secondary Norm			2013-14 ASD-W 17% 65% 18% K-5 Prov 16% 64% 20%	me to want to learn. Prov	69.9% 68.5% 72.1% 71.8% 69.9%	
2012-13 72.0% 74.0% 38.0% 33.0%	K-5 Prov 77% 83% 7	79% 85% 87% N/A	2015-16 ASD-W 24% 54% 22%	I am satisfied with the quality of ASD-W	71.1% 67.6% 67.6% 71.4% 79.4% 78.3% 75.1% 72.8% 73.5% 77.0%	
2013-14 77.0% 74.0% 41.0% 33.0%	2012-13 ASDW 76% 74% 6	68% 75% 79% 76%	6-12 Prov 21% 54% 25%	education received in school. Prov	78.3% 75.1% 72.8% 73.5% 77.0%	
2014-15 87.0% 74.0% 40.0% 33.0%	6.42	73% 77% 81% 79%	The NB Student Wellness Survey is conducted with students in	Relevance - Students find classroom instruction	n	
2015-16 89.0% 74.0% 42.0% 33.0%			Grades 4-5 and Grades 6-12 every three years. Survey participation is optional though encouraged.	relevant to their everyday lives.		
2016-17 89.0% 74.0% 42.0% 33.0%	2013-14	88% 90% 92% N/A	Competency Autonomy Relatedness	Elem Cdn Secondary Co		
Value School Outcomes - Students believe	K-5 Prov 90% 88% 8	88% 90% 93% N/A	2010-11 ASD-W 79% 83% 77%	Norm Norm		
that education will benefit them personally and economically, and will have a strong bearing on	2015-16 ASDW 79% 75% 6	69% 80% 83% 78%	K-5 Prov 79% 82% 77%	2012-13 8.0 8.0 6.1 6.	1	
their future.	2013-16		2012-13 ASD-W 74% 67% 73%	2013-14 8.1 8.0 6.3 6.	1	
Cdn Cdn	6-12 Prov 81% 80% 7	75% 83% 86% 81%	6-12 Prov 76% 70% 75%	2014-15 8.3 8.0 6.3 6.	1	
Elem Norm Secondary Norm 2012-13 96.0% 96.0% 73.0% 76.0%	The NB Student Wellness Survey is		2013-14 ASD-W 85% 52% 85% K-5 Prov 85% 53% 87%	2015-16 8.4 8.0 6.3 6.	1	
2013-14 95.0% 96.0% 73.0% 76.0%	in Grades 4 -5 and Grades 6-12 e participation is optional though er		K-5 Prov 85% 53% 87% 2015-16 ASD-W 75% 65% 76%	2016-17 8.3 8.0 6.4 6.	1	
2014-15 96.0% 96.0% 70.0% 76.0%	participation is optional though er	encourageo.	6-12 Prov 77% 69% 79%		<u> </u>	
2015-16 96.0% 96.0% 71.0% 76.0%				Effective Learning Time - Important concepts		
2016-17 96.0% 96.0% 73.0% 76.0%			Dropout Data – ASD-W	are taught well, class time is used efficiently an	ıd	
Sense of Belonging - Students feel accepted and			ASD-W F M Prov F M	homework and evaluations support course objectives.		
valued by their peers and by others at their			2011-12 1.9% 1.9% 1.9% 1.7% 1.5% 1.8%	objectives.	_	
school. Cdn Cdn			2012-13 1.6% 1.6% 1.5% 1.2% 1.7% 2013-14 1.8% 1.7% 1.8% 1.6% 1.4% 1.7%	Cdn Cdn Cd	In .	
Elem Norm Secondary Norm			2013-14 1.8% 1.7% 1.8% 1.6% 1.4% 1.7% 2014-15 1.7% 1.6% 1.8% 1.6% 1.3% 1.8%	Elem Norm Secondary Nor		
2012-13 86.0% 86.0% 66.0% 72.0%			2014-13 1.7% 1.6% 1.8% 1.6% 1.5% 1.8%			
2013-14 86.0% 86.0% 63.0% 72.0% 2014-15 85.0% 86.0% 62.0% 72.0%				2012-13 8.4 8.2 6.7 6.1	ð	
2014-15 85.0% 86.0% 62.0% 72.0% 2015-16 85.0% 86.0% 63.0% 72.0%				2013-14 8.6 8.2 6.8 6.4	-	
2016-17 84.0% 86.0% 62.0% 72.0%				2014-15 8.5 8.2 6.9 6.1		
				2015-16 8.5 8.2 6.9 6.1		
				2016-17 8.5 8.2 6.9 6.1	<u>5</u>	

they are going; how they are going Formative Assessment is a teaching and learning process that is freque	g to get there; and what to do next, with an e		e learners.
Indicators of Success	Focus and Research-Based Strategies / Actions (*Connection to EECD 10-Year Education Plan Objectives)	District Monitoring and Accountability (Plan/Do/Check/Act)	District Responsibility / Timeline
	Focus: Assessment Capable Learners		1
Assessment capable learners are students who can assess their own learning. there), and	They take risks and know what to do when they don't know v WHERE TO GO NEXT as they evolve as a learner. Dewitt -		learning, HOW they are going (getting
Professional learning will provide a shared understanding, tools and strategies to support assessment capable learning.	Develop a mutual understanding of assessment capable learners. *Objectives 3,4,5,8,9	District Coordinators and Directors' work plans will identify specific actions and ways to increase assessment capable learning. Intentional conversations will be embedded within Subject Coordinator meetings and District Administrator learning opportunities.	Directors and Subject Coordinators / Core Leadership Team
 Emphasis is placed on engaging students in the assessment process, such as: Supporting timely descriptive feedback (teacher/peer). Providing opportunities for students to self-assess. Engaging students in regular conferencing and goal setting based on the triangulation of data (products, observations and conversations). Involving students in the co-construction of criteria. 	Facilitate the effective use of the <i>Teaching Process Map</i> and <i>Intervention Map</i> such that students can share where they are in their learning, why are they learning it, what to do next, and have a voice in their learning environment. *Objectives 3,4,5,8,9	A review of learning opportunities will demonstrate a direct link to assessment capable learning through the use of the <i>Teaching Process Map</i> and <i>Intervention Map</i> .	Directors and Subject Coordinators District Education Council – Ends Policies Reports
Conversations with educators and students provides evidence of activating students as owners of their own learning.	Implement formative assessment walkthroughs, with an emphasis on observer as learner, to support assessment capable learning. *Objectives 3,4,5,8,9	Feedback from the use of the "Observers as Learners Formative Assessment Look Fors" will assist with understanding our progress toward assessment capable learning.	Directors and Subject Coordinators – Monthly Meetings
Educators sharing evidence of Conversations / Observations / Products related to assessment capable learning.	Work collaboratively with educators on the implementation of * <u>strategies / considerations</u> to activate students as owners of their own learning. *Objectives 3,4,5,8,9	Feedback from educators, as part of learning experiences, on the usage of the "Reflection Checklist", will assist with our progress toward implementation of strategies to activate students as owners of their own learning,	District DIP/DPLWEP Monitoring Committee - TBA
		 District DIP/PLWEP Monitoring Committee will review results / progress on a defined schedule. Our School / TTFM Provincial Assessments Teacher Perception Surveys Learning experiences Conversations / observations with school-based staff 	District DIP/PLWEP Monitoring Committee - TBA

Professional Learning / Resources						
SIP Information – EECD Portal EECD Look For Documents	 Assessment and Evaluation Site – EECD Portal Formative Assessment – Shirley Clarke Videos 	ASD-W Balanced Assessment Resource Provincial Assessment Results – EECD Web Site				
 ASD-W DIP Goal 2 Formative Assessment PowerPoint and Tools 	 EECD Portal Module – Formative Assessment 	 District and School-Based Report Card Data 				

ASD-W PRIORITY 2

Anglophone School District-West will build upon the use of formative assessment practices and strategies to allow learners to share: where they are; where 2017-2018 Goal: they are going; how they are going to get there; and what to do next, with an emphasis on students as assessment capable learners.


Outcome Measures

Formative Assessment is a teaching and learning process that is frequent and interactive. Formative Assessment provides ongoing feedback for understanding and progress to inform adjustments in teaching and learning throughout the entire learning cycle. (Source: EECD Formative Assessment Foldout)

OurSchool (Formerly Tell Them From Me-TTFM) - ASD-W

Feedback Data - My teacher gives me feedback and helps me set goals for my learning.						
Elementary	2-3 Times / Week	Almost Every Day	Every Day			
2014-15	18%	27%	23%			
2015-16	18%	27%	28%			
2016-17	16%	29%	28%			
Secondary	2-3 Times / Week	Almost Every Day	Every Day			
2014-15	25%	20%	13%			
2015-16	21%	9%	5%			
2016-17	23%	18%	15%			





res me ny lea	e feedback rning.				ed - Student I in their lea	
iost / Day	Every Day		Elem	Cdn Norm	Secondary	Cdn Norm
%	23%	2012-13	72.0%	74.0%	38.0%	33.0%
%	28%	2013-14	77.0%	74.0%	41.0%	33.0%
%	28%	2014-15	87.0%	74.0%	40.0%	33.0%
lost	Every	2015-16	89.0%	74.0%	42.0%	33.0%
/ Day	Day	2016-17	89.0%	74.0%	42.0%	33.0%
%	13%					
%	5%					
%	15%	Rigor - S	Students	find the o	classroom	

purpose,	nstruction is well organized, with a clear purpose, and with immediate and ppropriate feedback that helps them learn.							
Elem Cdn Norm Secondary Cdn Norm								
2012-13	8.1	8	6.2	6.3				
2013-14	8.2	8	6.4	6.3				
2014-15	8.6	8	6.6	6.3				
2015-16	8.6	8	6.7	N/A				
2016-17	8.5	8	6.7	N/A				

Determined on a Score out of 10

School Improvement Plans – ASD-W
School Improvement Plans with Reference to Formative Assessment Actions
Formative Assessment Actions

1 officiation inconcontra	
	No. ASDW schools
2014-15	24/74
2015-16	35/70
Spring 2016-17	45/69

Anglophone West District Results	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016
Grade 2 Literacy Reading	78.0%	80.4%	76.8%	77.3%	75.2%
Grade 6 Reading			Field Test	Main Administration	53.0%
Grade 6 Math			Field Test	Main Administration	18.8%
Grade 6 Science	Contestment - Marcel	Server an-	Field Test	Main Administration	25.9%
Grade 9 ELPA	73.5% (Reading)	78.6% (Reading)	S0.4% (Reading)	78.5% (Reading)	82.8% (Reading)
	82.0% (Writing)	83.2% (Writing)	89.5% (Writing)	91.1% (Writing)	No Writing
Grade 10 FSL Oral Proficiency	N.A.	N.A.	*32.1%	N.A.	*44.1%
Grade 12 FSL Oral		Voluntary Voluntary			48.9% (Early)
Proficiency					58.4% (Late)
	Voluntary				32.6% (PIF)
		the second s			

Formative Assessment Learning Scale - <u>Literacy</u> (With Lead Support)	No. of teachers	55
Knowing - I know about formative assessment and its benefits.	0	0.0%
Willing - I think this is worthwhile and I want to learn how to better use formative assessment.	8	4,4%
Learning - I know what I need to do and am starting to use formative assessment with my students.	24	13.3%
improving - I am getting better and do parts of the formative assessment cycle with all of my students.	82	45.6%
Doing - I am regularly doing all parts of the formative assessment cycle with all of my students.	58	32.2%
Sustaining - This is the way I will continue to assess in my classroom.	7	3.9%
Total	179	
8lanks	1	0.6%
Överall	180	100.05

Formative Assessment Learning Scale - <u>Numeracy</u> (With Lead Support)	No. of teachers	.95
Knowing - I know about formative assessment and its benefits.	0	0.00%
Willing - I think this is worthwhile and I want to learn how to better use formative assessment.	1	0.90%
Learning - I know what I need to do and am starting to use formative assessment with my students.	18	16,22%
Improving - I am getting better and do parts of the formative assessment cycle with all of my students.	71	63.96%
Doing - I am regularly doing all parts of the formative assessment cycle with all of my students.	21	18.92%
Sustaining - This is the way I will continue to assess in my classroom.	٥	0.00%
Total	111	100.00%

Teacher Perception Survey Data

		K-5	6-8	9-12	6-12	K-12	K-8					
I use form	native asses	ssment ev	idence to ir	nform my te	eaching.							
0045.40	ASD-W	60.70%	48.50%	37.70%	30.60%	50.50%	61.809					
2015-16	Prov	57.40%	45.30%	41.50%	47.40%	53.50%	55.509					
I use form	use formative assessment evidence to inform academic interventions.											
0045 40	ASD-W	58.50%	37.60%	30.30%	34.70%	42.30%	54.509					
2015-16	Prov	55.70%	38.00%	34.40%	40.60%	46.80%	50.409					
use formative assessment evidence to inform behaviour interventions.												
0045 40	ASD-W	49.40%	36.10%	24.20%	26.50%	36.10%	33.90					
2015-16	Prov	45.80%	32.20%	26.80%	30.30%	39.30%	39.20					
I use form	native asses	ssment ev	idence to m	nonitor stud	dent goals							
2015-16	ASD-W	45.30%	33.60%	29.30%	24.50%	35.80%	44.50					
2015-10	Prov	45.40%	29.20%	29.10%	32.60%	37.30%	43.00					
I use form with my te	native asse: eam(s).	ssment ev	idence to ir	nform planr	ning for ind	dividual stu	udents					
2015-16	ASD-W	47.00%	32.10%	22.80%	28.60%	28.70%	38.50					
2013-10	Prov	44.50%	27.30%	24.80%	26.90%	30.40%	40.10					
The feedl based)	back I provi	de to stud	ents is on-ç	going (as o	pposed to	assignme	ent					
2015-16	ASD-W	9.90%	9.00%	7.60%	2.00%	4.50%	10.00					
2010-10							10.00					
	Prov	8.70%	7.40%	9.10%	6.00%	5.30%						
working a	Prov back I provi and what ne ASD-W	de to stud	ents is expl		6.00%		6.50% s what i					
	back I provi and what ne	de to stud eds attent	ents is expl	licit and co	6.00% nstructive	; it explain	6.50% s what i 14.50%					
working a 2015-16	back I provi and what ne ASD-W	de to stud eds attent 9.90% 9.20%	ents is expl tion. 14.20% 10.10%	licit and co 10.30% 11.30%	6.00% nstructive 4.10%	; it explain 9.10%	6.50% s what i 14.50%					
working a 2015-16 <i>Percenta</i>	pack I provi and what ne ASD-W Prov ges below r ptember, ho	de to stud eeds attent 9.90% 9.20% represent	ents is expl tion. 14.20% 10.10% "Two or mo	licit and co 10.30% 11.30% ore times"	6.00% nstructive 4.10% 6.80%	; it explain 9.10% 8.10%	6.50% s what i 14.50% 9.40%					
working a 2015-16 <i>Percenta</i> Since Se teaching?	back I provi and what ne ASD-W Prov ges below r ptember, ho ASD-W	de to stud eeds attent 9.90% 9.20% represent pw often h 29.80%	ents is expl tion. 14.20% 10.10% "Two or mo ave you ha 29.10%	10.30% 11.30% <i>ine times</i> " d the oppo	6.00% nstructive 4.10% 6.80% rtunity to 0	; it explain 9.10% 8.10% observe pe	6.50% s what i 14.50 9.40% eer 29.70					
working a 2015-16 Percenta Since Se teaching 2015-16	ASD-W Prov ges below r ptember, hc ASD-W Prov	de to stud eeds attent 9.90% 9.20% represent pw often h 29.80% 31.30%	ents is expl tion. 14.20% 10.10% "Two or mo ave you ha 29.10% 27.70%	10.30% 11.30% <i>re times"</i> d the oppo 37.10% 39.80%	6.00% nstructive 4.10% 6.80% rtunity to 0 28.60% 25.90%	; it explain 9.10% 8.10% observe pe 19.80% 22.70%	6.50% s what i 14.50 9.40% eer 29.70 27.70					
working a 2015-16 Percenta Since Se teaching? 2015-16 Since Se	back I provi and what ne ASD-W Prov ges below r ptember, ho ASD-W Prov ptember, ho	de to stud eeds attent 9.90% 9.20% represent pw often h 29.80% 31.30% pw often h	ents is expl tion. 14.20% 10.10% "Two or mo ave you ha 29.10% 27.70%	10.30% 11.30% <i>re times"</i> d the oppo 37.10% 39.80%	6.00% nstructive 4.10% 6.80% rtunity to 0 28.60% 25.90%	; it explain 9.10% 8.10% observe pe 19.80% 22.70%	6.50% s what i 14.50 9.40% eer 29.70 27.70					
working a 2015-16 Percenta Since Se teaching? 2015-16 Since Se	ASD-W Prov ges below r ASD-W Prov ges below r ASD-W Prov ptember, ho your teachir	de to stud eeds attent 9.90% 9.20% epresent ow often h 29.80% 31.30% ow often h ag?	ents is expl ion. 14.20% 10.10% "Two or mo ave you ha 29.10% 27.70% ave you ha	10.30% 11.30% <i>re times</i> " d the oppo 37.10% 39.80% d the oppo	6.00% nstructive 4.10% 6.80% rtunity to c 28.60% 25.90% rtunity to h	it explain 9.10% 8.10% 0bserve pe 19.80% 22.70% nave a pee	6.50% s what i 14.50° 9.40% eer 29.70° 27.70°					
working a 2015-16 Percenta Since Se teaching? 2015-16 Since Se	back I provi and what ne ASD-W Prov ges below r ptember, ho ASD-W Prov ptember, ho	de to stud eeds attent 9.90% 9.20% represent pw often h 29.80% 31.30% pw often h	ents is expl ion. 14.20% 10.10% "Two or mo ave you ha 29.10% 27.70% ave you ha	10.30% 11.30% <i>re times"</i> d the oppo 37.10% 39.80%	6.00% nstructive 4.10% 6.80% rtunity to 0 28.60% 25.90%	it explain 9.10% 8.10% 0bserve pe 19.80% 22.70% nave a pee	6.50% s what i 14.50% 9.40% eer 29.70% 27.70%					

Collective effication	cy is the belief that through collective actions educators can influence stud	IORITY 3 then collective efficacy through collaborative leadership. <i>dent outcomes and improve student learning. (Source: Based on work of Jenni L</i> <i>tionships, and create opportunities for meaningful learning. (Source: Based on w</i>		
Indicators of Success	Focus and Research-Based Strategies / Actions (*Connection to EECD 10-Year Education Plan Objectives)	District Monitoring and Accountability (Plan/Do/Check/Act)	District Responsibility / Timeline	
	Focus: Collecti	ve Efficacy		
Learning opportunities will enhance a shared understanding of collective efficacy and encourage collaboration between all stakeholders.	Develop a shared understanding of collective efficacy. *Objectives 6,9	District Coordinators and Directors will monitor learning experiences for ways to promote and facilitate collective efficacy.	Directors and Subject Coordinators / Core Leadership Team	
School environments are reflective of teams coming together with an openness to new ideas, a willingness to experiment, and an increase in collaboration and sharing.	Apply "meet, model and motivate" strategy whereby creating a climate of openness, trust and collaboration. *Objectives 2,6,9	A review of professional learning opportunities will demonstrate components of collaboration and engagement,		
Educators feel comfortable sharing their opinions with school and district leaders through a variety of forums.	Facilitate Learning Cafes throughout ASD-W to engage in group conversations and share insights and reflections on how to impact the culture of ASD-W. *Objectives 6,9	Feedback from learning cafes will be reviewed and actions will be determined to enhance a collaborative leadership culture.		
		District DIP/PLWEP Monitoring Committee will review results / progress on a defined schedule.	District DIP/PLWEP Monitoring Committee meets TBA times per	
		 Teacher Perception Surveys Learning experiences Conversations / observations with ASD-W staff 	year. Dates: TBA	

Professional Learning / Resources			
Collective Efficacy, Jenni Donohoo Collective Efficacy	ollaborative Leadership: Six Influences That Matter Most, Peter M. DeWitt	>	CAMET Leadership Competencies

	ASD-W PRIORITY 3																								
<u>2017-2</u>	17-2018 Goal: Anglophone School District-West will work with all stakeholders to strengthen collective efficacy through collaborative leadership. Collaborative leadership includes the purposeful actions taken within ASD-W to enhance instruction, build deep relationships, and create opportunities for meaningful learning.																								
	(Source: Based on work of Peter DeWitt)																								
	Outcome Measures																								
Teache	Perce	ption S	urvey D	ata – A	SD-W																				
		K-5	6-8	9-12	6-12	K-12	K-8	dovelopin			in decisions ntions, asses				I work co results.	laborativel	y with othe	ers to discu	uss stude	nt progres	s and ach	ievement			
I have beer	n involved i	n the develo	pment of the	e School Im	provement	Plan (SIP).		2012-13	ASD-W	80.00%	72.50%	61.40%	58.20%	64.30%	2012-13	ASD-W	91.90%	90.00%	79.20%	79.70%	83.50%	85.00%			
2012-13		90.70%	86.50%	85.10%	93.70%	100%	93.80%	2013-14	ASD-W	87.70%	74.10%	70.50%	80.50%	80.40%	2013-14	ASD-W	96.40%	88.50%	77.70%			89.60%			
	ASD-W ASD-W	92.20% 94.60%	77.90%	75.90%	95.10%	97.10%	86.40%	2014-15	ASD-W	92.20%	82.40%	78.30%	77.30%	74.50%	2014-15	ASD-W ASD-W	96.00% 93.80%	89.20% 84.70%	79.80%		90.90% 85.70%	92.60% 90.10%			
	ASD-W ASD-W	94.60% 95.20%	89.90% 88.30%	86.50% 83.70%	89.40% 96.00%	95.50% 93.80%	92.60% 94.60%	2015-16	ASD-W Prov	90.70% 88.70%	82.50% 83.70%	75.00% 72.90%	86.00% 84.60%	88.40% 86.60%	2015-16	Prov	93.80%					90.10%			
2015-16	Prov	94.40%	89.00%	81.50%	91.20%	92.00%	90.60%		ASD-W	00.70%	63.70%	72.90%	64.00%	00.00%	2016-17	ASD-W									
2016-17	ASD-W							2016-17	Prov						2010-17	Prov									
	Prov			l	a dia daharah dara			I work wit	hin a team ir	n my school.					I am enco	uraged an	d support	ed to pursu	ue profess	sional lear	ning.				
instruction.	ders are kn	owiedgeable	e about, and	I WORK WITH I	ndividual tea	ams on curri	culum and	2012-13	ASD-W	92.70%	95.00%	87.20%	81.00%	77.40%	2012-13	ASD-W	88.70%	82.30%	76.70%	86.10%	88.60%	86.20%			
2012-13	ASD-W ASD-W	85.40% 88.90%	81.50% 70.50%	61.00% 65.40%	68.40%	83.50% 79.60%	80.00% 86.60%	2013-14	ASD-W	93.10%	95.80%	83.80%	81.50%	85.40%	2013-14		92.00%					90.90%			
	ASD-W ASD-W	88.90% 88.20%	70.50%	69.70%	72.00% 77.30%	79.60%	86.60%	2014-15	ASD-W ASD-W	96.00% 94.40%	93.20% 85.40%	83.30% 83.20%	80.30% 80.00%	80.90% 81.80%	2014-15		93.60% N/A	82.40% N/A	79.10% N/A	81.80% N/A	85.50% N/A	94.70% N/A			
2015-16	ASD-W	87.00%	68.60%	60.20%	69.40%	69.60%	75.20%	2015-16	Prov	93.60%	88.20%	81.90%	83.80%	86.00%	2015-16	Prov	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	Prov ASD-W	86.00%	72.30%	64.50%	77.80%	67.00%	77.40%		ASD-W						2016-17	ASD-W									
2016-17	Prov							2016-17	Prov							Prov									
																opportuni									
																ASD-W ASD-W						80.00% 92.40%			
															2013-14 2014-15	ASD-W					83.50% 83.60%	92.40% 88.30%			
															2015-16	ASD-W	88.10%	76.60%	70.90%	68.00%	79.10%	78.20%			
															2010-10	Prov	86.30%	77.00%	70.80%	79.30%	77.30%	81.30%			
															2016-17	ASD-W									
																Prov									

APPENDIX I: Monitoring Guidelines

District Improvement Planning

Continuous Improvement means adjustments are made when data becomes available. The following table provides a guideline for District to monitor their District Improvement Plan.

Due Date	Activity	Explanation
May	Review, update District Improvement Plan Priorities and Goals for 2017-2020	
August	District staff will prepare Work Plans, that reflect DIP/DPLWEP priorities and goals.	
October 15 th	District will upload to the ASD-W DIP/DPLWEP One Portal site – drafts, monitoring documents to support DIP Work Plans.	
February 27 th	Progress Notes uploaded to the ASD-W DIP/DPLWEP One Portal site, as required.	
June	Reflect on the past year, update progress notes, and revise goals / actions / strategies for upcoming year.	

Sample Tool / Activity for Continuous Improvement of District Improvement Plan.

Goals identified in DIP	Where are we now?	Where do we want to be?	What evidence will inform us that we are there?	What do we have to do differently?	Who can help us?	Monitoring – When? What? by Whom?
1.						
2.						
3.						

School Improvement Planning (Sample Timelines)

Continuous Improvement means adjustments are made when data becomes available. The following table provides a guideline for schools to monitor their School Improvement Plans.

Due Date	Activity	Explanation
May	Review, update School Improvement Plan Priorities and Goals for 2017-2020	
During Month	Administrators will update staff on ASD-W DIP/DPLWEP goals for 2017-2018.	Administrators should revisit the 3 year DIP with staff. Schools should
of September		analyze data sources and begin SIP updates for the 2017-2018 school year.
October 15 th	Schools will upload to the ASD-W DIP/PLWEP One Portal site – drafts, monitoring documents and a	Schools will begin to align school goals with DIP.
	development of actions of the SIP.	
February 27 th	Progress Notes uploaded to the ASD-W DIP/PLWEP One Portal site.	Schools will monitor progress and make adjustments to the SIP.
June	Reflect on the past year, update progress notes, and develop drafts for review in August.	Schools will monitor progress and make adjustments to the SIP.

Sample Tool / Activity for Continuous Improvement of School Improvement Plan.

Goals identified in SIP	Where are we now?	Where do we want to be?	What evidence will inform us that we are there?	What do we have to do differently?		
1.						
2.						
3.						